

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/763,573	01/22/2004	Neil J. Goldfine	1884.2021-001	4895
21005 75	590 05/09/2006		EXAMINER	
HAMILTON,	BROOK, SMITH & F	CHERRY, S	CHERRY, STEPHEN J	
530 VIRGINIA ROAD P.O. BOX 9133			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	1A 01742-9133		2863	
		•	DATE MAILED: 05/09/200	6.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR /	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
CONTROL NO.		PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	

10/763,573

EXAMINER

STENIEW CHERRY

ART UNIT PAPER
2863 20060428

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The reply filed on 1-23-2006 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omissions or matters: The Office Action dated 10-18-2006 made an election based on original presentation of the invention and claims 27-36 were withdrawn form consideration. However, the claim listing of the amendment dated 1-23-2006 does not reflect the correct status of the claims. Applicant is requested to submit a listing of he claims which reflect the present status of the claims. If applicant desires to request reconsideration of restriction of withdrawn claims based on amendments in those claims that render the prior restriction improper, this should be explicitly stated and accurately reflected in the status of he listing of the claims. Additionally, applicant has not attempted to point out the features of the claims, as intended to be amended, which distinguish over the

Additionally, applicant has not attempted to point out the features of the claims, as intended to be amended, which distinguish over the prior art of record, as required by MPEP 714.04.

Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be bona fide, applicant is given ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).

MICHAEL NGHIEM PRIMARY EXAMINER

5/8/06

stelop ?